CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTS AS AT DECEMBER 2015

BICESTER REGENERATION PROJECTS SUMMARY

		Actual	Actual v Profile				Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD Actual YTD Variance (Under) / Dver Concern Key £000's £000's £000's Key			Projected £000's Va Over		Concern Key				
Bicester Regeneration Projects	(483)	(483)	0	G	(3	511)	0	G		
BICESTER REGENERATION PROJECTS	(483)	(483)	0	G	(3	:11)	0	G		
easons for major variance :										

ACTU	JAL	PROJEC	TED
1.5%	2.5%	1.5%	2.5%
7.245	12.075	4.665	7.775
7.245	12.075	4.665	7.775

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	A
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	А
Anything else	G

CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL

MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTS AS AT DECEMBER 2015

COMMUNITY AND ENVIRONMENT SUMMARY

	Actual v Profile				Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD £000's	Actual YTD £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	Projected £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	
Community Services	2,882	2,469	(413)	А	2,419	(524)	A	
Environmental Services	3,310	3,640	330	R	4,308	393	R	
COMMUNITY & ENVIRONMENT Total	6,192	6,109	(83)	G	6,726	(131)	G	

ACT	UAL	PROJE	CTED
1.5%	2.5%	1.5%	2.5%
43.23	72.05	44.1375	73.5625
49.65	82.75	58.7175	97.8625
92.88	154.8	102.855	171.425

Reasons for major variance :

Community Services

Significant savings made because of staff vacancies over most teams in the section. There has been additional grant income for Safer Communities and Public Environmental Health. Also, additional car park income, recreational course fees and sports income.

Environmental Services

There has been increased use of agency and temporary staff to cover long term sickness

Income is reduced because of a drop in price for glass sold for recycling, together with a reduction in waste and recycling refuse sales and income. In addition there has been a reduction in pest treatment and street cleansing work, resulting in less income.

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	Α
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	Α
Anything else	G

CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL

MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTS AS AT DECEMBER 2015

CHIEF EXECUTIVE Projected v Budget Actual v Profile Variance Variance Budget Actual Projected £000's (Under) / Concern (Under) / Concern YTD YTD Over Key Over Key £000's £000's £000's £000's R R Chief Executives 298 337 39 458 49 CHIEF EXECUTIVES Total R R 298 337 39 458 49 Reasons for major variance : Chief Executives The overspend is because of posts filled by agency staff

ACTUA	L	PROJE	CTED
1.5%	2.5%	1.5%	2.5%
4.47	7.45	6.135	10.225
4.47	7.45	6.135	10.225

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	А
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	А
Anything else	G

CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTS AS AT DECEMBER 2015

DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

	Actual v Profile				Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD £000's	Actual YTD £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	Projected £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key	
Strategic Planning & the Economy	498	505	7	G	555	2	G	
Planning & Development Management	352	(190)	(542)	А	(84)	(568)	А	
Regeneration & Housing	1,583	1,634	51	R	1,577	238	R	
DEVELOPMENT Total	2,433	1,949	(484)	A	2,048	(328)	А	

Reasons for major variance :

Strategic Planning & the Economy

Public Protection & Development Management

Planning Fees Income was significantly above budget for the first half year, but has eased in the third quarter. It is anticipated that this lower level of income will continue in the fourth quarter, although this is still at or about the budgeted level for the quarter.

Regeneration & Housing

Agency costs for cover on 3 key roles. Additional Professional Fees incurred by Delivery Team and although there is reduced income due to vacant units in Banbury, this has been offset by increased rentals in Bicester. Reserves are earmarked to offset this overspend, but these have not yet been released owing to the overall position for Development and the

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	R
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	Α
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	Α
Anything else	G

ACTU	IAL	PROJE	CTED
1.5%	2.5%	1.5%	2.5%
0	0		
0	0		
7.47	12.45	8.295	13.825
5.28	8.8	7.26	12.1
23.745	39.575	20.085	33.475
36.495	60.825	35.64	59.4

CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL

MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTS AS AT DECEMBER 2015

RESOURCES										
		Actual v Profile					Projected v Budget			
	Budget YTD £000's	Actual YTD £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key		Budget £000's	Variance (Under) / Over £000's	Concern Key		
Transformation	746	688	(58)	А		1,098	(134)	А		
Finance & Procurement	1,169	964	(205)	А		1,472	(263)	А		
Law & Governance	756	679	(77)	А		910	(83)	А		
ICT	834	848	14	А		974	74	R		
RESOURCES Total	3,505	3,179	(326)	A		4,454	(406)	A		

Reasons for major variance :

Transformation

There are salary savings due to vacancies in Performance & Improvement, in addition there are savings for Cherwell Link and ICT costs.

Finance & Procurement

A decision to broaden the range of legal cases upon which the Council seeks to recover court costs, has resulted in significantly higher income than was anticipated in the budget. This area is very difficult to predict accurately as it is quite volatile, and will be monitored closely. There are also savings relating to staff vacancies.

Law & Governance

Income is higher than budgeted because of successful legal cases. In addition personal search fees are also higher than budgeted.

ЮТ

There have been additional software costs, there are earmarked reserves available to offset this, but these have not been released owing to the overall position for Resources and the Council.

Concern Key (based on YTD budget)
Overspent more than 2.5% of budget
R

ACTUAL		PROJE	PROJECTED	
1.5%	2.5%	1.5%	2.5%	
11.19	18.65	16.47	27.45	
17.535	29.225	22.08	36.8	
11.34	18.9	13.65	22.75	
12.51	20.85	14.61	24.35	
52.575	87.625	66.81	111.35	

Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	
Anything else	G